

*The Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports registered, in accordance with Section 36(2) of Act No. 111/1998 Coll., on Higher Education Institutions and on Amendments and Supplements to Other Acts (the Higher Education Act), under ref. no. MSMT- /2026, an amendment to the Rules of the System for Ensuring the Quality of Educational, Creative and Related Activities and Internal Evaluation of the Quality of Educational, Creative and Related Activities of the Silesian University in Opava as of the date of signing the registration.*

.....  
*Mgr. Vojtěch Tomášek*  
*Director of the Higher Education Department*

---



### **III. Change**

# **Rules of the system for ensuring for educational, creative and related activities and internal evaluation of the quality of educational,**

**creative and related activities at  
Silesian University in Opava dated  
..... 2026**

**III. Amendment**

**Rules of the quality assurance  
system**

**for educational, creative and  
related activities and internal quality  
assessment of educational,  
creative and related activities at  
Silesian University in Opava dated  
..... 2026**

**Article 1**

The rules of the quality assurance system for educational, creative and related activities and internal evaluation of the quality of educational, creative and related activities at Silesian University in Opava are amended as follows:

1. In Article 1, paragraph 2 is supplemented by letter d), which reads as follows: "

"d) the powers and activities of the Ethics Committee and the University Ombudsman."

2. In Article 2, paragraph 9) is deleted and replaced by a new paragraph 9), which reads as follows:

"9) The outputs of external evaluations of the university pursuant to Section 77a(4) of the Act and evaluations of research organisations carried out pursuant to special legal regulations, e.g. Act No. 130/2002 Coll. on the support of research, experimental development and innovation from public funds and on amendments to certain related acts (Act on the Support of Research, Experimental Development and Innovation), as amended, or any subsequent or replacement act, and from other similar evaluations."
3. In Article 3, paragraph 2) is repealed and replaced by a new paragraph 2), which reads as follows:

"2) Institutional accreditation grants a university the right to independently create and implement a specified type or types of study programmes in a specified area or areas of education. On the basis of institutional accreditation, a university is authorised to implement a study programme belonging to more than one field of education, provided that it has institutional accreditation for all fields of education to which the study programme belongs."
4. In Article 3(4)(d), the phrase "for higher education" is replaced by the phrase "for tertiary education".
5. In Article 6, paragraphs 1), 3) and 4) are deleted and replaced by new paragraphs 1), 3) and 4), which read as follows:

"1) In addition to the law, the minimum requirements for the quality of a university's educational activities are determined in particular by:

  - a) Government Regulation No. 274/2016 Coll., on standards for accreditation in higher education (hereinafter referred to as "accreditation standards") and Government Regulation No. 275/2016 Coll., on areas of education in higher education,
  - b) the Study and Examination Regulations for students of bachelor's and master's degree programmes at the university,
  - c) Study and Examination Regulations for students of doctoral study programmes at the University,
  - d) University admission procedure rules,
  - e) Rules for habilitation procedures and procedures for appointment as a professor at the university,
  - f) a set of internal requirements for study programmes (hereinafter referred to as "internal university standards for study programmes").

"3) The basis for the evaluation of study programmes is a self-evaluation report on the study programme submitted by its guarantor and covering the period since the accreditation was granted by the National Accreditation Authority or since the consent to implement the study programme was granted within the framework of institutional accreditation. The report usually includes:

  - a) an evaluation of the fulfilment of internal university standards for study programmes, compliance with the strategic objectives of the university and its constituent parts,
  - b) an evaluation of feedback from students, graduates and employers regarding the study programme,
  - c) evaluation of how related creative activities are reflected in educational activities,
  - d) according to the type and profile of the study programme, evaluation of student creative activities or cooperation with practice, or the international dimension of the study programme,
  - e) fulfilment of study objectives and corresponding learning outcomes,
  - f) evaluation of the level of final theses and, where applicable, rigorous theses,

- g) evaluation of the success rate in the admission procedure, study failure rate, rate of successful completion of studies and employment of graduates of the study programme,
- h) evaluation of the pedagogical, scientific or artistic and technical provision of the study programme,
- i) identification of strengths, weaknesses, risks and opportunities for further development of the study programme,
- j) summary and justification of changes and revisions in relation to the graduate profile.

"4) The data necessary for the preparation of the self-evaluation report available from the university's information system shall be provided to the guarantor by the relevant unit, possibly in cooperation with the rector's office, through the relevant vice-dean (at a university institute, the deputy director)."

6. In Article 9, paragraph 3) is deleted and replaced by a new paragraph 3), which reads as follows:

"3) Student evaluation of teaching takes place in bachelor's and master's study programmes, usually after each semester. It is organised electronically using the university's study agenda information system. In addition to the evaluation according to the previous two sentences, other evaluations may be organised at the rector's discretion. The details of the evaluation are determined by the head of the department or the rector. Students have the right to participate in the evaluation anonymously.

7. In Article 13(2), the number "14" is replaced by the number "8".

8. In Article 15(3)(b), in footnote 3, the text "60/2017" is deleted and replaced by the text "203/2022".

9. In Article 19(4), letter "b)" is changed to letter "d)".

10. In Article 23, paragraph 5 is deleted and replaced by a new paragraph 5, which reads as follows:

"5) The Internal Evaluation Board shall decide whether to grant or refuse consent to implement a study programme within 120 days of receiving the application. This period shall not include the time taken to remedy deficiencies pursuant to Article 22(4)."

11. In Article 24, paragraph 2) is deleted and replaced by a new paragraph 2), which reads as follows:

"2) The resolution of the Internal Evaluation Board on granting consent to implement a study programme shall contain

- a) the name and type of the study programme,
- b) the standard duration of study,
- c) the form of study,
- d) in the case of a bachelor's or master's study programme, the profile of the study programme,
- e) the field or fields of education to which the study programme belongs; in the case of a study programme belonging to more than one field of education, also the proportion of fields of education in teaching,
- f) the period during which the study programme may be implemented,
- g) the name of the component to which the approval is granted, or the name of the legal entity pursuant to Section 81d(1) of the Act,
- h) the language of instruction,
- i) any authorisation to conduct a state rigorous examination, specifying the relevant academic title.

12. In Article 27(1), point (c) is deleted and replaced by a new point (c), which reads as follows:  
"(c) extension of cooperation with another legal entity pursuant to Section 81d(1) of the Act,"
13. Articles 30 and 39 are deleted without replacement. The existing Articles 31 to 40 are renumbered as Articles 30 to 38.
14. In the new Article 35 (current Article 36), in paragraph 2(f), the number "35" is changed to "34".
15. In the new Article 35 (previously Article 36), paragraph 2(f) is repealed and replaced by a new paragraph (f), which reads as follows:  
"(f) approves the intention to submit an application for accreditation, extension of accreditation or renewal of the validity of accreditation of a study programme pursuant to Article 30(3) and Article 31(1),
16. In the new Article 36 (previously Article 37), paragraph 4) is deleted and replaced by a new paragraph 4), which reads as follows:  
"4) If the function of an appointed member of the Internal Evaluation Board ceases to exist, the Rector shall submit a proposal for the appointment of a new member."

## **Article 2**

### **Final provisions**

- 1) These amendments to the Rules of the Quality Assurance System for Educational, creative and related activities and internal quality assessment of educational, creative and related activities at the Silesian University in Opava were approved in accordance with Section 9(1)(b)(3) of the Act by the Academic Senate of the Silesian University in Opava on 10 February 2026.
- 2) These amendments shall enter into force pursuant to Section 36(4) of the Act on the date of registration by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports.
- 3) These amendments shall take effect on the date of entry into force.

Ing. Lucie Kamrádová, Ph.D.  
Chairwoman of the Academic Senate

doc. Mgr. Tomáš Gongol, Ph.D.  
Rector